BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS		NOV 1 2 2008
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS)	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
Complainant,) PCB 2000-211 (Enforcement))) PCB 2000-211) (Enforcement)
TOYAL AMERICA, INC., formerly known as ALCAN-TOYO AMERICA, INC., a foreign corporation, Respondent.	.)	
NOTIC	E OF FILING	
TO: Christopher Grant Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 69 West Washington Street, 18 th Floo Chicago, IL 60602	Bradley P. Halloran Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Contro James R. Thompson Ce 100 W. Randolph Street Chicago, IL 60601	nter, Suite 11-500
John Therriault, Assistant Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601		
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on attached Notice of Filing and Toyal's Resp Officer, a copy of which is herewith served u	onse to Request to Admit Fa	
	Respectfully submitted,	
	TOYAL AMERICA, INC.	
•	By: One of its attorn	Rdg:

Roy M. Harsch Lawrence W. Falbe Yesenia Villasenor-Rodriguez DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 191 N. Wacker Drive Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60606-1698

Telephone: (312) 569-1000

Facsimile: (312) 569-3000

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD NOV 1 2 2008

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS) STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
Complainant,))
v.) PCB No. 00-211
) (Enforcement)
TOYAL AMERICA, INC., formerly known)
as ALCAN-TOYO AMERICA, INC., a)
foreign corporation,)
)
Respondent.)

TOYAL'S RESPONSE TO REQUEST TO ADMIT FACTS

Respondent, Toyal America, Inc., ("Toyal"), by its attorneys, Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, submits the following Response to Complainant's Request to Admit Facts as follows:

Fact No. 1

Toyal America, Inc. (hereinafter "Toyal") is a Delaware corporation, duly authorized to transact business in the State of Illinois.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 2

Toyal is a wholly owned subsidiary of Nippon Light Metals, Ltd. a Japanese corporation, which also does business as Nippon Light Metals Group or NLM Group.

Response: Denied. Further responding, Toyal is a subsidiary of Toyal Aluminium KK and Toyal Aluminium KK is a subsidiary of Nippon Light Metals.

Fact No. 3

Nippon Light Metals Group consists of 115 subsidiaries and 51 affiliates.

Nippon Light Metals Ltd. reported 2007 sales of \$5,236,408,000.00

Response: Toyal admits that Nippon Light Metals reported the above sales in its 2007

annual report.

Fact No. 5

Toyal owns and operates an aluminum processing facility located at 17401 South Broadway, Lockport, Will County, Illinois.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 6

On or about February 6, 1992, Illinois EPA requested information from Toyal regarding maximum theoretical VOM emissions to determine compliance with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.985(a) and Subpart TT.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 7

On or about May 29, 1992, Toyal reported to Illinois EPA that their maximum theoretical VOM emissions were 82 tons per year.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 8

On or about May 29, 1992, in the report referenced in Request to Admit Fact No. 7, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that, due to process limitations, they considered the practical maximum VOM emissions to be 41.5 tons per year.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 9

On or about May 29, 1992, Toyal reported to Illinois EPA that their actual VOM emissions to the air were 28.07 tons in 1990 and 33.61 tons in 1991.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 10

Using the definition of "maximum theoretical emissions" contained in 35 III. Adm. Code 211.3960, from at least March 15, 1995 through at least April 30, 2003, Toyal's maximum theoretical emissions of volatile organic material exceeded 100 tons per year.

2

Response: Denied.

Using the definition of "potential to emit" contained in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 211.4970, from at least March 15, 1995 through at least April 30, 2003, Toyal's emission sources had the potential Co emit in excess of 25 tons per year of volatile organic material.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 12

On or about February 27, 1995, Illinois EPA sent a Request for Additional Information to Toyal in response to Toyal's permit application, number 90040002. The Request for Additional Information advised Toyal that on March 15, 1995, the applicable emission level for Part 218, Subpart TT and Subpart QQ would apply to its facility.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 13

Toyal was subject to the control requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a), subpart TT, as of March 15, 1995.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 14

Toyal submitted its application for a Clean Air Act Permit Program ("CAAPP") permit on or about March 5, 1996.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 15

Toyal's CAAPP Permit application advised Illinois EPA that Toyal was subject to but not in compliance with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a).

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 16

In its CAAPP Permit application, Toyal stated that total VOM emissions exceeded 25 tons per year and that it was not in compliance with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a).

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 17

In its CAAPP Permit application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that control equipment would be installed in the future.

In its CAAPP Permit application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that it would apply for a construction permit for control equipment to meet the 81% control requirements of 218.986(a) by February 1998, and demonstrate compliance by November 1998.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 19

In its CAAPP Permit application, Toyal reported VOM emissions of 80.6411 Tons per year for purpose of CAAPP Permit Fee determination.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 20

Toyal sought internal company approval for a project to investigate emission control technology to come into compliance with VOM limits on or about February 25, 1997

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 21

On or about March 13, 1998, Toyal requested that Illinois EPA extend its deadline for submission of its construction permit application until May 31, 1998. In its request for extension, Toyal stated that it would be in complete compliance by February 1999, and would demonstrate compliance through stack testing and mass balance estimates.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 22

On or about June 2, 1998, Illinois EPA received Toyal's construction permit application for VOM control equipment.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 23

In its application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that it would be installing a Regenerative Catalytic Oxidizer (hereinafter "RCO), and that it would demonstrate compliance by stack test in November, 1998.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 24

In its application for the permit referenced in Request to Admit Fact No. 23, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that it had not yet chosen an RCO supplier.

On or about December 30, 1998, Toyal wrote Illinois EPA advising that it had cancelled the stack test scheduled for December 29, 1988, a typographical error which was intended to be December 29, 1998. Toyal requested an extension until February 29 1998, a typographical error which was intended to be February 29, 1999.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 26

On February 19, 2002, Toyal wrote Illinois EPA requesting an extension of the date of demonstrating compliance with VOM capture and control efficiency to below 25 tons VOM per year until November 29, 2002.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 27

On or about February 26, 2002, Toyal wrote Illinois EPA and advised that it had not completed emissions control engineering necessary to make the necessary modifications to convert the existing RCO to an RTO.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 28

On or about August 19, 2002, Toyal wrote Illinois EPA requesting an additional extension of the date for demonstrating compliance with VOM capture and control efficiency.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 29

Toyal reported 1999 VOM emissions to Illinois EPA to be 36.1 tons.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 30

Toyal reported 2000 VOM emissions to Illinois EPA to be 47.4 tons.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 31

On or about April 18, 2001, Toyal submitted a construction permit application to Illinois EPA.

5

Toyal's April 18, 2001 construction permit application sought a permit for conversion of the existing RCO to a regenerative thermal oxidizer (hereinafter "RTO") as a VOM control device.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 33

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that it would test the RTO to demonstrate compliance in May, 2002.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 34

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its A-Unit Process consisted of 13 emission sources.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 35

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its A-Unit process was subject to the 81% VOM control requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a), and was not in compliance with applicable regulations.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 36

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that A-Unit emissions would be controlled by the RTO and that compliance would be demonstrated by stack testing.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 37

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its B-Unit Process consisted of 18 emission sources.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 38

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its B-Unit process was subject to the 81% VOM control requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a), and was not in compliance with applicable regulations.

6

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that B-Unit VOM emissions would be controlled by the RTO and that compliance would be demonstrated by stack testing.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 40

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its C-Unit Process consisted of 18 emission sources.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No.41

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its C-Unit Process was subject to the 81% VOM control requirements of 35 Ill.Adm. Code 218.986(a), and was not in compliance with applicable regulations.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 42

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that C-Unit VOM emissions would be controlled by the RTO and that compliance would be demonstrated by stack testing.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No.43

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA its D-Unit Process consisted of 18 emission sources.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No.44

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its D-Unit process was subject to the 81% VOM control requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a), and was not in compliance with applicable regulations.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 45

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that ID-Unit VOM emissions would be controlled by the RTO and that compliance would be demonstrated by stack testing.

7

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its Aluminum Flake Process Unit consisted of 3 emission units.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 47

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its Aluminum Flake Process Unit process was subject to the 81% VOM control requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a), and was not in compliance with applicable regulations.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No.48

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that Aluminum Flake Process emissions would be controlled by the RTO and that compliance would be demonstrated by stack testing.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 49

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its FX Flake Process Unit consisted of 9 emission sources.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 50

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its FX Flake Process was subject to the 81% VOM control requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a), but was not in compliance with applicable regulations. Toyal further advised that FX Flake Process VOM emissions would be controlled by the RTO and that compliance would be demonstrated by stack testing.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 51

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its Sigma Mixer Process Unit consisted of 4 emission sources.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 52

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that its Sigma Mixer Process was subject to the 81% VOM control requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 218.986(a), but was not in compliance with applicable regulations.

8

In its April 18, 2001 application, Toyal advised Illinois EPA that Sigma Mixer Process VOM emissions would be controlled by the RTO and that compliance would be demonstrated by stack testing.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 54

Toyal did not convert the RCO to an RTO at any time after submitting the April 19, 2001 Permit Application.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 55

On or about November 1, 2002, Toyal reported to Illinois EPA that of 58 VOM emission sources at its facility, 26 had been connected to a control device, 19 had not yet been connected to control, and 13 were exempt from the control requirement.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 56

Following submission of its April 18, 2001 permit application for the RTO, Toyal began a project to hook all regulated emission sources to the RCO.

Response: Admitted.

Fact No. 57

Toyal first demonstrated compliance with the control requirements of 35 Ill.Adm. Code 218.986(a) on April 30, 2003.

Response: Admitted.

Respectfully Submitted,

TOYAL AMERICA, INC.,

Date: November 12, 2008

Roy M. Harsch Yesenia Villasenor-Rodriguez Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 191 North Wacker Drive, Suite 3700 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 569-1441

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing **Notice of Filing** and **Toyal's Response to Request to Admit Facts** were filed by hand delivery with the Hearing Officer and served upon the parties to whom said Notice is directed by first class mail, postage prepaid, by depositing in the U.S. Mail at 191 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois on Wednesday, November 12, 2008.

1) Villaseno-Rolg.